

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/590,286	08/22/2006	Furio Suggi Liverani	MOD-0066	6982	
23413 CANTOR CO	7590 08/18/200 LBURN, LLP	EXAMINER			
20 Church Stre		ALEXANDER, REGINALD			
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 0	06103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, 0.1			3742		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/590,286	LIVERANI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Reginald L. Alexander	3742		

	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Reginald L. Alexander	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR. 1.3 after SIX (6) MORN'HS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mancium statutory period w Any reply received by the Office site of than three months after the mailing earned patnet from adjustment, See 37 CPR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is				
· - ··	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 43-90 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
 Claim(s) is/are rejected. 							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 43-90 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	-						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
			ED 1 121(d)				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTH P	10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No.					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•		- 0				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,286

Art Unit: 3742

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 43-61, 81, 83 and 84, drawn to a beverage extraction cartridge, classified in class 99, subclass 295.
- Claims 62, 85 and 86, drawn to a beverage extraction cartridge having a porous disc, classified in class 99, subclass 295.
- III. Claims 63-72, 87 and 88, drawn to a beverage extraction cartridge having a valve on a cup part, classified in class 99, subclass 295.
- IV. Claims 73-80, 82, 89 and 90, drawn to a beverage extraction cartridge having a tappet, classified in class 99, subclass 295.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III and IV are directed to related extraction cartridges. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different design. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above

Application/Control Number: 10/590,286

Art Unit: 3742

and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement

Application/Control Number: 10/590,286

Art Unit: 3742

will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Daniel Drexler on 11 August 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Reginald L. Alexander/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742